

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,502	11/13/2001		Jeremy I. Levin	ACY33464-00 D1 8971	
25291 7	7590	05/16/2003			
WYETH				EXAMINER	
PATENT LAW GROUP FIVE GIRALDA FARMS				SEAMAN, D MARGARET M	
MADISON, NJ 07940				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			, v	1625	6
	•		¥)	DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/055,502	LEVIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. Margaret Seaman	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address'				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 N</u>	March 2003					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is/are pending in the appl						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
		niner , ,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	,				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
	·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/055,502

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group Ii in Paper No. 8, dated 3/24/2003, is acknowledged. There are no grounds of traversal given in paper #8. Due to this, the arguments are not found persuasive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There are no examples of any compound in the specification wherein Y is a heteroaryl. The only examples given in the specification are wherein Y is phenyl. The making and testing of the examples are drawn to only wherein Y is aryl. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to practice the instantly claimed invention because of the lack of description of the instant invention in the instant specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,502

Art Unit: 1625

4. Claims 1-2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The instant specification does not enable the ordinary artisan to make or use the instant invention wherein Y is heteroaryl.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". These factors include 1) the breadth of the claims, 2) the nature of the invention, 3) the state of the prior art, 4) the level of one of ordinary skill, 5) the level of predictability in the art, 6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor, 7) the existence of working examples, and 8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

- 1) The breadth of the claims: The claims are extremely broad and have either C(O)-N(OH) or C=C as the common core.
- 2) The nature of the invention: The invention is drawn to compounds and methods of inhibiting pathological changes mediated by TNF- α converting enzyme.
- 3) The state of the prior art: The prior art knows of compounds that inhibit TACE but none with the same core as is instantly claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,502

Art Unit: 1625

5) The level of predictability in the art: The predictability in the art is unknown due to the lack of art that has similar compounds that work.

- 6) The amount of direction provided by the inventor: The inventor provides no direction for the ordinary artisan to take other than Y needs to be phenyl.
- 7) The existence of working examples: There are no examples, either working or not working, in the specification wherein Y is heteroaryl.
- 8) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure: The quantity of experimentation needed to make and use the instant invention is unexpected.

Taking the above into consideration, it is not seen where the instant specification, lacking any examples or direction, enables the ordinary artisan to make or use the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 703-308-4528. The examiner can normally be reached on 630am-4pm, First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

D. Margaret Seaman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms May 14, 2003